EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT SALA Adouting Slip INITIAL DATE INFO ACTION :OT 1 DCI 2 DDCI 3 **EXDIR** D/ICS DDI 5 DDA 6 DDO DDS&T 8 Chm/NIC GC 10 11 IG -12 Compt D/EEO 13 D/Pers D/OEA 15 C/PAD/OEA 16 17 SA/IA 18 AO/DCI C/IPD/OIS 20 21 22 SUSPENSE Date per EXDIR'S request Remarks: B-204

3637 (10-81)

loved For Release 2007/05/07 : CIA-RDP83M00914R000300946944

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT Routing Slip

Т	(١.

1	and the same of th	ACTION	M INFO	DATE	MINITIAL
	10年19年19月	法制建	网络下颌科膜	海岸经济	A Property
111	DCI深态等	The second contract	1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A 12 20 10 10	
2	DDCI	- ALLEGA	/	The Paris of the Paris	· 注题是194.开关。
3	EXDIR:	沙理	V	\$18.88 (F. 211)	military to
4	D/ICS	The state of	10071	The Parket I	Secretary Park
5	DDIS語言語		学生		7.00
	DDA	生生 使数	空部議	W. 7.	《禁题·特别》
7	DDO			State	ACTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY
			7		是是
. 8	DDS&T	Service Control		or the last of the	Company of the
9	Chm/NIC	· 大学的 (1987)		Fragger	《西班牙
10	GC-	2000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i de militario	
11:	-IG	1	2-52 9 A-56 9 A-	Constant of the constant of the	
12	Compt	S. Software		the second second second	A. Name of
13	D/EEO	Annual Control of the		S. C.	
14	D/Pers				The second second second
15	D/OEA				1 2 4 4 5 K
16	C/PAD/OEA	4	全一种意思		21、一种发展的。
17	SA/IA			in the state of	
				国际通过	
18		The second second	9 31.58	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
19				90° 14 6'40. 10. avr 1798	22/2+C
20	ापत्रसम्बद्धाः	2007.04500		76.3 (c. 1.1.)	
21	1. 所模的	1、《特代語	S 4.35 3	·张 下张/4位5·	
22	rest street at	\$ 1964A		F. F. V. 13 V	
	SUSPEN	SE	Date	1943) W.	

Remarks:

					┙
-			.5.	retai	J
DI	Exec	UTIVE	/360	500	7
. "]		フノ	41	"XL	_
	-				•
			Date		2-

3837 (10-81)

Executive Registry 82-5431/4

9 July 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director

FROM:

John N. McMahon

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

PFIAB Meeting, 8 July 1982

- 1. The subjects discussed centered on the prepared statement by the Director to the PFIAB updating them on areas of concern, namely Lebanon, Iraq, Iran and the threat that Iran poses to the Gulf states, the situation in Central America and a brief discussion of Argentina/Falklands.
- 2. Chairman Anne Armstrong acknowledged all the support that the Agency has given to the various subcommittees and thanked us for our help. She raised a question regarding the Consumer Survey; said they were anxious to get a copy which we had promised. The Director said it should be there and would make sure. The Director hit some of the highlights of the report.
- John Foster spoke of technology transfer and commended the Agency for all it had done but he didn't see where the government itself was organized to do anything about it. He began to admonish us for not taking a strong position to oust all the Soviets from the United States. We spoke briefly of the impact to U.S. operations and the fact that the Soviets could readily come up with surrogates with the East Germans, Czechs and Polish diplomats here. Foster's response was to throw them all out. After berating us somewhat for not being more aggressive in times past, I challenged them and told them that concessions made to the Soviets were diplomatic concessions (i.e., State Department) and that intelligence had really been the voice in the wilderness. I pointed out that the State Department agreed to the Soviet embassy on Mt. Alto, right in the center of our microwave network, and at the same time had accepted a location in Moscow for our embassy which is in a virtual hole. I noted that Dick Helms had lead the fruitless outcry against this situation. Foster backed off and apologized.
- 4. We did acknowledge that there should be reciprocity between the U.S. and the Soviet Union in the numbers of people in each mission and also travel restrictions. The Director suggested the same should be true of the Chinese.

- 5. Foster and Sy Weiss registered their concern over the soft wording of 11-3/8 regarding Soviet strategic forces. The wording of the Estimate says in effect that the Soviet strategic forces are "at least equal to those of the United States." They were enraged, pointing out that the Soviets had superior forces and that our Estimate was undercutting Defense on Capitol Hill in trying to get a better budget. The Director stuck by the wording, noting that the Soviets were ahead in some ways and behind in others and that he did not want to lean too far the other way regarding Soviet superiority and the phrase, "at least" left room for superiority to be accepted. I don't believe we had any support from the PFIAB on that point.
- 6. Next subject centered on the Soviet economy led by Leo Cherne who suggested we were always saying that the Soviet economy was a basket case. The Director countered this, saying the Soviets are in trouble but that being a communist nation they can always solve their problems by taking it out of the people. The discussion continued with the Director, I believe, convincing PFIAB of the balance of our Estimates regarding the Soviet economy.

YJohn N. McMahon

25**X**1